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Abstract—This paper proposes the evolutionary technique of the stimulus signal optimization for the analog electronic circuit testing purpose. The obtained signal is coded with Sigma-Delta modulation usage that allows to generate it easily by simple microcontrollers without the necessity of expensive D/A peripherals applying. The signal with the controlled impulses density may be obtained on the external output terminal of the typical timer and finally, it defines the analog signal that can be reconstructed after low pass filtering.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The analog electronic circuits (AECs) testing and diagnosing are important research domains [1-5]. The integrated analog circuit quality as well as the final device reliability depend directly on the control regime applied on each stage of the product life cycle. Many researches were concentrated on the cost effective techniques oriented to the AECs production and their build-in self testing procedures. The searching for this kind of concepts of the circuit under test (CUT) specification or/and elements correctness verification is still necessary. They are very useful, especially for the final product quality control, i.e. just after the SMD elements placement and the final device assembling on the production line, as well as for its earlier diagnostic supervising for the desired reliability of the device assurance.

Generally, the analog testing procedures may be sorted as the ones oriented to defected elements detection or to the ones which verify the correctness of the circuit specifications (like a cut-off frequency, a gain level or a signal phase shifting) [1, 2]. They are called fault driven testing (FDT) and specification driven testing approaches respectively (SDT). Of course, the FDT concept may be desired on the prototype testing to the faulty element location, however the SDT idea is still necessary. They are very useful, especially for the final product quality control, i.e. just after the SMD elements placement and the final device assembling on the production line, as well as for its earlier diagnostic supervising for the desired reliability of the device assurance.

Generally, the analog testing procedures may be sorted as the ones oriented to defected elements detection or to the ones which verify the correctness of the circuit specifications (like a cut-off frequency, a gain level or a signal phase shifting) [1, 2]. They are called fault driven testing (FDT) and specification driven testing approaches respectively (SDT). Of course, the FDT concept may be desired on the prototype testing to the faulty element location, however the SDT idea is still necessary. They are very useful, especially for the final product quality control, i.e. just after the SMD elements placement and the final device assembling on the production line, as well as for its earlier diagnostic supervising for the desired reliability of the device assurance.
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subtracting error level is integrated there. Next, the integrator output voltage is quantized by a 1-bit converter that references the accumulated voltage to the zero threshold level. Its output is set to high or low value in case of positive or negative thresholds detections respectively. The PDM digital signal is finally obtained and it allows to easily reconstruct the analog original one by a simple low pass filtering.

As Fig. 2 shows, each output change of the \( \Sigma \Delta \) converter is synchronized by clock \( V_{CLK} \) that determines the sampling frequency \( f_s \) of the process. To assure the desired level of SNR for the reconstructed analog signal within the assumed frequency band \( f_C \), there has to be:

\[
f_s \gg f_C,
\]

e.g. 64 times of the acoustic signal band is used for the Super Audio Coding standard (SACD). Currently available technologies of the integrated circuit production allow to rise to the high processing speed necessity challenge, additionally the oversampling technique has clear advantages and it decides about this conversion technique usefulness.

III. TESTING APPROACH DESCRIPTION

A. Concept Explanation

The \( \mu \)Cs equipped with the timer connected to the output terminal and a high speed of the external signal generating possibility are widely used today. However, DAC peripheral is not always embedded there because it effects the increase of \( \mu \)C cost. Besides, the flash memory has satisfactory size typically and some part of it may be dedicated to the sequence of bits storing for the specialized testing excitation coding purpose. The mentioned features were the reason of the \( \Sigma \Delta \) modulation engaging directly for the testing stimulus generating, i.e. without embedded DAC usage. Besides, due to the high oversampling frequency of the original signal, this kind of modulation allows to easily reconstruct the analog excitation by means of a simple RC low pass filtering. The idea of this approach is presented in Fig. 4.

During the testing stage, the specialized analog excitation is driven to the CUT input and the obtained testing response, after its analog to digital conversion, is analyzed in \( \mu \)C. The shape of this stimulus is determined on before test stage by means of evolutionary system described in the next section.

The final decision about the analog circuit diagnostic state depends on the observed features of the retrieved output signal. In contrary to the first version of this approach from [7], for the system proposed in this paper the absolute error \( E_{TR} \) between the CUT testing response and the ambiguity region (AR) defined for the healthy circuits set was selected for the examination purpose and it is calculated from \( N \) discrete time points of the error function \( e(t) \):

\[
E_{TR} = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |e(n)|.
\]
The above parameter (2) is easy for calculation, i.e. it needs $N$ additions only, and its level is function of the error signal $e(t)$ energy. The number of points $N$ of discrete signal $e(n)$ may be calculated from the duration time $T_{D}$ of the designed testing stimulus and the frequency $f_{s}$ of its sampling:

$$N = T_{D} \cdot f_{s}.$$  

The general idea of $E_{TR}$ determination is explained in Fig. 5 where the top and the bottom envelopes of testing responses set obtained from the simulations of healthy CUTs are denoted by squares and circles respectively and they define AR. The level of CUT absolute error (2) depends on the total surface of areas which exceed the allowed AR of the time response level of CUT absolute error. The number of points obtained from the simulations of healthy CUTs are denoted where the top and the bottom envelopes of testing responses averagely then it is classified to faulty group (CF):

$$E_{TR} > \delta_{TH\%} \cdot E_{0} \Rightarrow CF,$$  

or to the healthy one (CT) otherwise (GO-NO GO testing).

B. Before Test Stage Procedure

During the before test stage, the shape of the specialized stimulus is optimized and this process needs a few steps. Firstly, the simulations of the circuit considered for the testing have to be started. The proposed technique is dedicated to the selected specifications of AC response examination (e.g. cut-off frequency, attenuation or phase shift values at the selected frequency point(s) ), so this kind of analysis should be executed $I$ times with elements values (CUT parameters) dispersed with the assumed deviations $\delta_{MC\%}$ (Monte Carlo randomization) and finally it allows to collect the set $K_{C}$ of frequency points $n = 0,..,N-1)$:

$$K_{C} = \{K_{0}(jn\omega),..,K_{(I-1)}(jn\omega)\}.$$  

Next, the obtained set (5) is grouped into the two subsets of $J$ elements for each one ($J$<$I$): with the acceptable values of the observed specifications $K_{CH}$ (the healthy CUT patterns) and to the faulty patterns $K_{CF}$ otherwise:

$$K_{CH} = \{K_{CH0}(jn\omega),..,K_{CH(I-1)}(jn\omega)\},$$
$$K_{CF} = \{K_{CF0}(jn\omega),..,K_{CF(I-1)}(jn\omega)\},$$

where $\{K_{CH} \cup K_{CF}\} \subset K_{C}$ and $\{K_{CH} \cap K_{CF}\} = \emptyset$. These subsets are used during the training stage (evolutionary computations) for their isolation level (fault coverage) examination.

Later, to determine the impulse responses $h_{i}(n)$ for all frequency characteristics from the training set ($k=0,..,2J-1$), the IDFT operation is made adequately:

$$h = [h_{CH},h_{CF}] = IDFT(K_{CH} \cup K_{CF}),$$
$$h = [h_{CH0}(n),..,h_{CH(I-1)}(n),h_{CF0}(n),..,h_{CF(I-1)}(n)],$$

and it allows to realize all next necessary calculations during the before as well as the after testing stages in the time domain only. Now, for each candidate from population of the testing stimulus, respective $k$-th testing response may be determined by means of discrete convolution theorem:

$$y(n) = x(n) \ast h_{k}(n),$$

where $x(n)$ denotes the testing excitation obtained after demodulation of PDM signal coded by the individual from the population and $h_{k}(n)$ is the CUT impulse response for its $k$-th diagnostic state.

During the $E_{TR}$ determination stage, bottom $u_{B}(n)$ and top $u_{T}(n)$ envelopes of healthy CUTs time responses sets are determined firstly and they define the allowed ambiguity area of non-faulty circuit signatures. This AR is caused by the parameters of the real CUT tolerance dispersion and its shape depends on the applied stimulus $x(n)$:

$$u_{B}(n) = \min(x(n) \ast h_{kn}(n)),$$
$$u_{T}(n) = \max(x(n) \ast h_{kn}(n)),$$
$$i = 0,..,J-1; n = 0,..,N-1.$$  

At last, the error signal $e(n)$ may be calculated for the acquired testing response $y(n)$ from formulas:

$$e(n) = \frac{1}{2}\left(|f_{1}(n)| + |f_{2}(n)| + |f_{3}(n)|\right),$$
$$f_{1}(n) = u_{B}(n) - y(n),$$
$$f_{2}(n) = y(n) - u_{T}(n),$$
$$n = 0,..,N-1.$$

Fig. 5. The exemplary ambiguity region and one response of faulty CUT.
The above equation (10) is implemented in the fitness function procedure and when the observed parameter \( E_{IR} \) calculations (2) process is finished for the all testing responses (for the each of \( K \) patterns), its distribution into population of genotypes is fully defined and the quality \( Q \) factor (11) may be obtained easily for each one.

\[ Q = \alpha + \frac{\beta}{E_{0}}, \quad (11) \]

where \( \alpha \), \( \beta \) and \( E_{0} \) are the number of correctly isolated CUT faulty states, minimal energetic distance between the healthy and faulty ones obtained for all patterns from the training set and average energy of healthy circuit testing response respectively. The fitness value \( Q \) is maximized during the evolutionary calculations and its proposed structure allows to control the optimization process with assumed hierarchy, i.e. separation \( \alpha \) maximization has the highest priority and adequately the second component has the lower one.

Each genotype from the population of DE system codes \( \Sigma \Delta \) digital signal with PDM shape optimized there and its structure is illustrated in Fig. 6. Genes from all positions code the widths (their absolute values are the pulses durations denoted as the integer number of clock periods \( 1/f_{c} \)) and polarities (their negative or positive signs define low or high levels respectively) of the impulses which create PDM signal finally. The integer, signed values of genes are optimized evolutionarily to achieve the demodulated analog testing signal with the highest possible mark \( Q \). After finishing the evolutionary cycles, the optimized stimulus is ready and it is coded by the best genotype found globally (i.e. this one from all created \( L \) generations).

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\text{Locus (n)} & 0 & 1 & 3 & \ldots & M-1 \\
\text{Allel (} G_{m}^{\text{M}}, G_{m}^{\text{M}}+1, \ldots, G_{m}^{\text{M}}-1, G_{m}^{\text{M}}\text{)} & g_{0} & g_{1} & g_{2} & \ldots & g_{(M-3)}
\end{array}
\]

Fig. 6. The genotype structure.

IV. COMPUTATIONAL RESULTS

A. First Example CUT

The testing technique was examined firstly on the exemplary CUT presented in Fig. 7, i.e. the same one as for the previous version of this presented in [7]. The four parameters of the filter are delegated for their correctness checking:

- DC gain \( A(0) \),
- frequency response overshoot \( A_{\text{mv}} \),
- cut-off frequency \( f_{\text{3dB}} \) and
- phase value at cut-off frequency point \( \varphi(f_{\text{3dB}}) \).

Firstly, AC simulations were made and the subset of \( J=100 \) patterns for healthy and faulty CUTs were prepared after Monte Carlo analysis (\( K=2; J=200 \) elements in training set totally). Frequency response of each pattern was defined in range from 1Hz up to 5120Hz at \( N=512 \) points. The parameters of all discrete components (resistors and capacitors) were randomized within \( \delta_{\text{MC}}=20\% \) dispersion range. Finally, only the patterns with all above the tested specifications equal to the nominal ones or deviated not more than 10\% from their nominal levels were included to the healthy group. However, the faulty group consists of patterns for which one or more of these parameters exceed the above threshold value \( \pm10\% \) maximally, i.e. they are into the range \( \pm10\%\pm20\% \) from nominal value. The proposed structure of the testing patterns allows to evaluate the efficiency of specifications, soft faults isolation especially. However, its larger deviations from the nominal points (hard faults) are much easier to detection and they are not considered here. The Fig. 8 illustrates the exemplary amplitude responses obtained for the healthy and the faulty CUTs.

The evolutionary system of the PDM testing sequences optimization was started with the below settings:

- the size of population \( D = 20 \) individuals,
- the last generation number \( L = 100 \),
- the minimum value of gene \( G_{\text{MN}} = -32 \),
- the maximum value of gene \( G_{\text{MX}} = 32 \),
- the genotype length \( M = 1024 \),
- the PDM signal clock frequency 2MHz,
- the LPF filter cut-off frequency 1kHz,
- the scheme DE2 weights \( W_{1} = 0.5, W_{2} = 0.3 \) (the best and the differential parents usage impacts).
After the system start, the primary population was initialized with $\Sigma\Delta$ sequences which code sinusoidal waveforms with random amplitudes, periods and phase shifts. The best genotype for the considered experiment found after 100 iterations codes the specialized analog testing stimulus presented in Fig. 9. This optimized excitation reaches $98\%$ of the patterns isolation (GO/NO-GO specification driven test detection level) from the training set for the assumed classification threshold $\delta_{\text{TH}}=10\%$. It proves the method efficiency enhancement obtained in contrary to the previous version of the algorithm [7] for that the fault coverage obtained for the same training patterns was equal to $81\%$. As can be seen, the parameter (10) engaged for the testing classification is more efficient and it involves minimal computations time.

Additionally, to check the generalization level of the approach, the best testing stimulus was examined on the new CUT sets created randomly with changed seed of MC random generator, i.e. another ones than these used during the evolutionary computations stage. Finally, the detection level reaches $95\%$, so just $3\%$ of efficiency reduction appeared during the new patterns recognition and the GO-NO GO specifications of CUT testing level efficiency is still acceptable there. The detailed results are collected in Tab.1 where the values of quality factors $Q$ and its components achieved after optimization cycles as well as for the newly created examination set are presented. Additionally, the maximal value of (2) and its variance in the group of results obtained for considered sets of patterns are presented there.

### Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kind of set</th>
<th>$Q$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$\beta=$min($E_{\text{TR}}$)</th>
<th>max($E_{\text{TR}}$)</th>
<th>var($E_{\text{TR}}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>98.114</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>3.236</td>
<td>58.659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random</td>
<td>95.104</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>4.877</td>
<td>82.102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It should be emphasized that the examination set may contain patterns lying very close to unfaulty ones AR and this kind of cases is very difficult to detection. Besides, the additional advantages of this approach are its minimal cost and the fact that the multi-point frequency response measuring (e.g. by means of vibuloscope) and its analyzing is not necessary there. So, this kind of test is especially predisposed to the serial automatic testing on production stage or for the CUT self-testing purpose.

### B. Second Example CUT

The second example is presented in Fig. 10. It is an active low pass “Leapfrog” filter, the one from benchmark circuits delegated for the analog testing methods examination and presented in [12]. All CUT specifications delegated for the testing and all parameters of evolutionary system are the same as in the previous one.
The best found phenotype is illustrated in Fig. 11 and it allows to obtain 95% of fault coverage for the training set. The efficiency of the newly created patterns recognition reaches 87%. In this case, the generalization level is worse than previously (i.e. 8% of reduction appeared), but the final result is still good. All the results obtained for the CUT from Fig. 10 testing are collected in Table II.

![Fig. 11 The waveform of the optimized testing stimulus obtained for the second CUT.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kind of set</th>
<th>$Q$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$\beta\min(E_{10})$</th>
<th>$\max(E_{10})$</th>
<th>$\text{var}(E_{10})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>95.148</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>3.152</td>
<td>50.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random</td>
<td>87.101</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>2.876</td>
<td>44.564</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. CONCLUSIONS

Considering the described technique simplicity, the obtained results are acceptable. Additionally, the CUT frequency specifications selected for the examination are not easy for fast determination in practice. Of course, a chirp signal may be engaged there, but it is a more expensive solution and it leads to the scanning necessity of the full band of the CUT (e.g. for the frequency response maximal gain location purpose). The future additional improvements of the method are possible there. For instance, the technique may be adapted to the faults identification purpose, e.g. by the parameter (2) decomposition (signatures) analysis. For this kind of faults identification ability improving, the variance of the obtained signatures set may be adequately optimized during the evolutionary computations and then the desired component(s) should be added to the fitness function (11) formula. Of course, the criterion delegated for the CUT diagnostic state classification purpose may be changed to another one and some additional processing procedures of the discrete error signal like a Walsh-Hadamard transformation can be applied, too. Finally, it may result with the technique efficiency improvement, but more advanced calculations engaging involves the method computation time increasing typically, so the testing system structure should be designed respectively to the assumed specific requirements.
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